

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,779	07/16/2003	Hugh West	25293	1674	
28624 7.	590 08/03/2005		EXAMINER		
	USER COMPANY	HALPERN, MARK			
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPT	C., CH 1J27			
P.O. BOX 977		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FEDERAL WA	AY, WA 98063		1731		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/621,779	WEST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Halpern	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprend for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	<u>ne 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F	10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.	., .,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PT0	D-152)			

 \bigcap

Application/Control Number: 10/621,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) The Office Action of July 20, 2005, is withdrawn.

- A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/7/2005, has been entered.
- 3) In Amendment received 6/7/2005, Applicants amend claims 1, 8, 15, and cancel claims 16-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1-2, 5-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Pittman (6,670,035).

Claims 1-2, 8-10: Pittman discloses wood pulp fluff fibers having a particulate material, such as calcium oxide or magnesium oxide, attached to a retention aid, such as polyamides, in an amount from about 0.1% to about 1% based on the weight of the polyamide. Since the weight of the polyamide is within the range of 5% to 75% of the weight of the fiber, the amount of the material is calculated to be within the claimed amount of the weight of the pulp fiber. Polyamide as a retention aid is water soluble as admitted and disclosed in the present Specification, pg. 3, lines 1-5. Such other materials, as talc or calcium carbonate, may be considered as fillers (col. 4, lines 14-63). It is inherent, or in the least it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the particulate material of Pittman is capable of reducing hydrogen sulfide, since the particulate material of Pittman is the same material in the same amounts as disclosed in the present invention.

Claims 4-6, 11-13, 15: the wood pulp fluff fibers of Pittman disclosed above are made into sheets by wet-laid method, the sheet are of basis weight of up to 500 gsm. The sheets are dried (col. 5, lines 35-65).

Claims 7, 14: the products formed are absorbent (col. 5, lines 1-34).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pittman in view of Hochwalt (US 2002/0054919). Pittman is applied as above for claim 1; Pittman fails to disclose that the particulate material is zeolite. Hochwalt discloses zeolite (Abstract) used for the purpose of reducing odors from hydrogen sulfite [0003], -[0007]. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Pittman and Hochwalt, because such a combination would permit the product of Pittman to remove a wide variety of odors as disclosed by Hochwalt [0003].

Response to Amendment

- 6) Claims 1-18, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 7) Applicants' arguments filed 6/7/2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the cited prior art, Pittman, does not disclose polymers that are water soluble retention aids.

Pittman discloses polyamides as retention aids, which are water soluble as even admitted and disclosed in the present Specification, pg. 3, lines 1-5. Nylon 6, nylon 66, are only some examples of polyamides (col. 4, lines 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,779 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern \

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731